The Impact of Teacher Evaluation Systems on Teacher Morale and Performance: A Study in a Public University in Afghanistan

Ismail Shinwari

Lecturer of the English Department, Education Faculty, Sayed Jamaluddin Afghani University, Kunar, Afghanistan.

Abstract

This qualitative study examines the effects of teacher evaluation systems on teacher morale and performance at a public university in Afghanistan. The study's objective is to ascertain faculty members' perceptions of the evaluation procedure, its impact on motivation, and its impact on teaching methods. Semi-structured interviews with an academic faculty member focused on their experiences with the evaluation system, the feedback they received, and how it affected their professional development using a qualitative method. According to the findings, the faculty members' morale and teaching performance are significantly influenced by their perceptions of fairness, transparency, and the value of feedback. Faculty members who thought the evaluation process was fair, open, and supportive of professional development led to improved teaching practices reported higher levels of job satisfaction and motivation. On the other hand, when employees thought the evaluations were unclear, unfair, or unrelated to professional development, it hurt their morale and performance. In general, this study provides useful insights into how to enhance faculty morale and performance by enhancing teacher evaluation systems in Afghan universities. It advocates for a more open, inclusive, and development-oriented approach to teacher evaluations in order to foster a positive academic environment and enhance teaching quality.

Keywords: teacher evaluation, teacher morale and performance, teaching practices, motivation

Introduction

In order to raise educational standards and ensure that colleges achieve their learning objectives, evaluation systems for teachers are essential. Teacher evaluations are a method for evaluating the efficacy of instruction, providing feedback, and encouraging professional development in many higher education institutions worldwide. Evaluations should be seen as a tool for faculty growth rather than a way to judge or punish faculty, claim Goe, Bell, and Little (2008). Other contextual factors may have an impact on the efficacy of teacher assessment systems in underdeveloped countries like Afghanistan, where higher education institutions face particular

challenges such as limited resources, political unpredictability, and cultural constraints. However, these evaluation systems' effects on teacher morale and performance can differ significantly depending on how educators create, implement, and view these systems. In recent years, the public university system in Afghanistan has undergone significant transformation, with a greater emphasis placed on raising educational standards and meeting the shifting demands of students. This finding is in line with studies by Quraishi and Sadiq (2017) and Arum and Shavit (1995), which highlight the difficulties of implementing reforms in educational establishments with established power dynamics and robust hierarchical structures. As part of this broader reform initiative, teacher assessment can improve faculty performance, teaching quality, and ultimately student outcomes. However, there has not been a comprehensive empirical investigation into the precise effects of teacher assessment systems on teacher morale and performance in the Afghan context. This gap in the literature is especially significant given the strong correlation between teacher morale and job satisfaction, instructional effectiveness, and retention rates—all of which are crucial to the sustainability of the higher education system.

By examining the effects of teacher evaluation systems at a public university in Afghanistan, this study aims to fill this void. It looks into how these systems affect teachers' perceptions of their roles, motivation to improve, and job satisfaction. The study also looks into the relationship between teacher performance and evaluation systems, particularly in terms of how effective teaching is and how professional development can help. This study aims to contribute to a broader discussion of teacher evaluation in higher education by focusing on the Afghan context and providing insights into the challenges and opportunities faced by universities in developing nations.

Regarding Afghanistan, as the nation's higher education system looks to modernize and enhance in response to sociopolitical problems, scarce resources, and changing educational demands, the role of teacher evaluation systems is especially crucial. Although Afghanistan's public universities have been reformed and strengthened, little empirical study has been done on the effects of teacher evaluation systems on faculty morale and performance, particularly in public universities. This gap in the evidence is important since job satisfaction, teaching efficacy, and overall academic success are all closely correlated with teacher morale.

Objectives of the study

1. To explore how existing teacher evaluation frameworks affect the morale, motivation, and job satisfaction of university faculty.

2. To analyze the effects of teacher evaluation results on instructor morale, motivation, and job satisfaction.

3. To recognize the obstacles and prospects linked to the establishment of effective teacher evaluation systems within a public university setting in Afghanistan.

Research Questions

1. What are the effects of teacher evaluation results on instructor morale, motivation, and job satisfaction?
2. How do current frameworks for evaluating teachers affect university faculty morale, motivation, and job satisfaction?
3. What opportunities and challenges come with putting in place efficient systems for evaluating teachers in an Afghan public university setting?

Literature Review

Systems for evaluating teachers are essential to raising the standard of instruction in higher education institutions. These tools are used to assess classroom performance, give constructive criticism, and assist faculty growth. In the worldwide educational landscape, there has been much discussion on how teacher evaluation methods affect teachers' performance and morale. This study of the pertinent literature focuses on the several facets of teacher evaluation systems, their impact on teacher performance and morale, and the challenges of putting them into practice, especially in Afghanistan's public universities. Systems for evaluating instructors are widely used by universities to assess their capacity to deliver high-quality instruction. The procedure usually includes administrative evaluations as well as peer reviews, self-assessments, and student evaluations. Evaluations that are appropriately designed and emphasize growth and development rather than punishment have been shown to improve teacher morale and performance (Berk, 2013). Teacher morale is the term used to describe the general well-being and job satisfaction of educators. It is impacted by a number of elements, including workload, institutional support, and the standard of working environment. When properly implemented, teacher evaluation systems can boost teacher morale by providing chances for both professional and personal development (Wiliam, 2016). Teachers are more likely to see assessments as supportive rather than punitive when they believe that they are honest, fair, and aimed at assisting them in becoming better educators. Danielsen (2007) suggests that this could increase motivation, job satisfaction, and professional accomplishment levels. On the other hand, improperly implemented assessment systems could have the opposite impact. Evaluations that are viewed as unjust, prejudiced, or exclusively focused on punitive measures can cause faculty members to feel stressed, frustrated, and disengaged (Berk, 2013). Teachers may experience demotivation in certain situations if the evaluation system is opaque or

fails to consider the challenges of teaching in higher education. Teacher evaluations that deviate from faculty standards or cultural norms may encounter opposition and discontent in Afghanistan, a country characterized by hierarchical systems and traditional educational methods (Arum & Shavit, 1995). The relationship between teacher performance and evaluation systems is essential to the effectiveness of evaluation processes. According to research, assessment systems can enhance teaching quality and instructional practices if they are made to offer insightful feedback. Research has demonstrated that assessments that offer teachers practical feedback, like specific recommendations for enhancement, chances for peer cooperation, and access to resources for professional growth, can greatly improve teaching effectiveness (Kane, Rockoff, & Stosich, 2011). These challenges can make the adoption of assessment systems more difficult if teacher evaluations are perceived as foreign or external mandates (Khan, 2015). Teacher evaluation systems can be very successful when they are adapted to the local environment and backed by the right resources, according to research from other developing nations. Muralidharan & Sundararaman (2013) state that faculty members in nations such as Kenya and India, where comprehensive professional development programs were combined with teacher evaluations, reported improvements in teaching effectiveness and job satisfaction. Similarly, it was shown that teacher evaluations improved student performance and teacher morale while also helping to professionalize the teaching professions in post-conflict countries such as Rwanda (Harris, 2010).

However, little is known about how teacher evaluation methods affect morale and performance in Afghanistan. Contextual and cultural factors have a big impact on how effective teacher assessment methods are. The respect for authority and the hierarchical structure of Afghan educational institutions may have an impact on how teacher evaluations are viewed. Teachers may oppose assessments if they feel that they are being forced upon them without enough faculty involvement or support. Furthermore, the lack of a robust formative assessment tradition in Afghan higher education may make it difficult to establish evaluation systems that prioritize teacher growth over performance measures (Quraishi & Sadiq, 2017). Designing teacher assessment methods that are both successful and culturally appropriate requires an understanding of these cultural aspects. To reduce resistance and increase the overall impact of assessments, for instance, faculty members can be included in the design of evaluation instruments, process transparency can be ensured, and professional development opportunities based on evaluation results can be offered (Mertler, 2017).

Methodology

This study aims to explore the impact of teacher evaluation systems on teacher morale and performance at a public university in Afghanistan. To achieve this, a qualitative research design was employed. This approach allows for a comprehensive examination of qualitative data, providing a

richer understanding of the experiences and perceptions of faculty members regarding teacher evaluation systems.

This study employed a qualitative methodology. A more thorough knowledge of how teacher evaluation systems affect teacher morale and performance is made possible by this architecture, which enables the triangulation of data. Interviews was conducted as part of the qualitative component to learn more about faculty members' perspectives and experiences with evaluation systems. A faculty member was selected from a public university in Afghanistan for the study because of its varied staff and continuous use of teacher assessment programs. To ensure that subjects, academic rankings, and years of teaching experience are represented, a faculty member from a department is selected to participate in the study. The study's target demographic is all full-time university faculty members who have taken part in the teacher evaluation procedure. Faculty members who have not taken part in teacher evaluations will not be included in the survey in order to guarantee that the replies are pertinent. Information is gathered from the participant using a semi-structured interview and the use of the purposeful sampling technique. Furthermore, a self-structured semi-structured interviews were carried out with the head of the department at the Afghan public university. Participants will have the chance to discuss their opinions in further depth and provide specific insights into their experiences with teacher evaluation systems during these interviews. Thematic analysis was used to examine the qualitative information gathered from the interviews. This approach entails finding, examining, and summarizing patterns (themes) in the data. In order to fully comprehend the opinions of the participants, the interview transcripts will be reviewed several times over. Themes will be derived from the codes assigned to significant sections of the text.

Limitations of the Study

There may be drawbacks to the qualitative approach, even though it offers a thorough grasp of the effects of teacher evaluation programs. Time and budget limitations may have reduced the sample size, which could impact the findings' applicability to the larger Afghan university population. Concerns about the possible repercussions of their answers may make participants reluctant to give candid feedback, particularly if the evaluation process is seen as punishing. Cultural views on authority and assessment methods may have an impact on faculty members' answers, leading to underreporting of unpleasant experiences or opposition to the evaluation procedure.

Findings

The qualitative results of faculty interviews at a public university in Afghanistan provided some significant insights into the ways in which teacher evaluation systems impact teacher

1*Corresponding Author: Ismail Shinwari   **Volume 01 Issue 01 (May) 2025**
                                                                          Available at: ijss-sjau.com
                                                                          Page No. 11-21

15

www.ijss-sjau.com

performance and morale. After analyzing the interview data, recurrent themes emerged that emphasized the challenges faced by faculty members as well as the advantages and disadvantages of the current evaluation method. The following sections summarize the key conclusions about how faculty members view the evaluation system, how it affects their morale, and how it affects their ability to teach.

1. Perceptions of Fairness and Transparency

The faculty's worries regarding the impartiality and openness of the teacher assessment system were among the most important discoveries. According to the participant, the evaluation method was not seen as transparent and lacked clear guidelines. According to the respondent, they frequently lacked clarity regarding the standards by which their work was judged and the procedures by which their assessments were carried out. He said,

"A detailed explanation of what is being examined is not provided. Evaluations can seem to be based more on subjective opinions than on impartial metrics."

Because faculty members were unclear about how their assessments were affecting choices like tenure, promotions, and professional development opportunities, this ambiguity caused them to feel distrustful of the evaluation system. A few respondents stated that they questioned the reliability of the input they received due to the lack of transparency, which decreased their opinion of the evaluation process's worth.

2. Impact on Teacher Morale

Teacher morale was significantly impacted by the evaluation process's perceived fairness and transparency. Higher levels of job satisfaction and motivation were reported by faculty members who thought evaluations were carried out impartially and fairly. According to these people, the evaluation system was a helpful instrument for both professional and personal development. One respondent said: "When I receive fair assessments and insightful comments, I am inspired to enhance my instruction. It's an opportunity to consider what works and what doesn't. On the other hand, faculty members who felt that the evaluation system was unfair or overly focused on irrelevant aspects of teaching, such as student satisfaction or test scores, reported a decline in morale. The participant also expressed that the evaluation process created stress and anxiety, especially when the feedback they received was vague or unhelpful. He remarked,

"The assessments give me anxiety since they frequently seem more like a condemnation than a means of progress. It seems to me that meeting specific numerical goals or pleasing students is more important than my actual teaching."

Frustration and disinterest resulted from a lack of alignment between evaluation criteria and teaching realities, which ultimately lowered morale.

3. Effectiveness of Feedback and Professional Development

The study also discovered that the caliber of teacher assessment comments had a substantial impact on faculty members' instructional effectiveness. Teachers who were given thorough, helpful criticism said the assessments improved their methods of instruction. According to these individuals, professional development based on feedback resulted in better teaching strategies and increased student involvement. For example, he clarified,

"I was able to pinpoint particular areas where I could improve after getting thorough criticism. It inspired me to study new teaching techniques and go to workshops in order to improve my skills. In contrast, faculty member who received generalized or non-specific feedback felt that the evaluations did not help them improve their teaching."

The participant mentioned that feedback was often too vague to be actionable or was given without any follow-up support. He remarked:

"I typically only receive a few lines of input, and it doesn't suggest any changes I should do. It seems like a waste of time without any resources for improvement or follow-up."

The idea that the evaluation system was inefficient at raising teaching effectiveness was influenced by the absence of possibilities for professional growth and meaningful feedback.

4. Challenges in the Evaluation System

Numerous problems with the current teacher assessment system were identified by the interviewees. The most commonly noted issue was the dependence on student evaluations as the main indicator of educational effectiveness. Concerns over the validity and reliability of student assessments were voiced by the HOD, especially in large lecture situations where there was little opportunity for one-on-one interaction with students. Faculty believed that student ratings were often impacted by outside variables like as grading procedures, course difficulty, and personal prejudices rather than accurately reflecting the quality of instruction. He said:

"Student assessments don't fairly represent how effective instruction is. If they don't like the course or don't receive the grade they desire, some students just give it a low rating. It is not a reflection of my teaching abilities."

He also pointed out that there was insufficient context in the student evaluation process, with students occasionally grading teachers on matters irrelevant to the caliber of their instruction. These issues highlight the need for a more comprehensive and multidimensional method of evaluating teachers that considers a wider range of elements, such as peer assessments, introspection, and contributions to the academic community.

5. Desire for More Collaborative and Development-Oriented Evaluations

One of the main themes that came out of the interviews was the HOD's wish for an evaluation procedure that is more collaborative and development-focused. He stated that he would like to participate in the assessment, particularly in the areas of goal-setting and discussing areas for improvement. He thought that by including their opinions in the assessment process, a more encouraging and less punitive system would be created. He went on to say:

"It would feel like a more meaningful process if I could sit down with the evaluator and talk about what I need to improve in. It's about working together to support my growth, not just about crossing things off a list."

This aim for a more participatory and professional development-focused system highlights the need to create an evaluation framework that is seen as a tool for progress rather than merely a performance review. With an emphasis on encouraging cooperation and promoting professional development, the HOD recommended that assessments be viewed as a component of an ongoing conversation between educators and administrators.

6. Cultural and Institutional Context

Faculty perceptions of the evaluation method were also influenced by Afghanistan's institutional and cultural background. In a hierarchical academic atmosphere where authority is highly valued, the respondent often refused to question the fairness of the grading system or to challenge it. This attitude of respect to authority may result in fewer possibilities for faculty to

1*Corresponding Author: Ismail Shinwari
Volume 01 Issue 01 (May) 2025
Available at: ijss-sjau.com
Page No. 11-21

18

provide constructive critique of the system as a whole. Others said they felt helpless to change institutional practices, and he said he was afraid to raise concerns about the evaluation process for fear of the consequences. He said:

"In our culture, challenging authority is difficult. Because it seems like it would go against the administration, we don't always feel comfortable talking about issues with the evaluation system."

This cultural dynamic highlights the need for a more inclusive approach to assessment system design and implementation, one that promotes faculty involvement and open communication while acknowledging the significance of power hierarchies.

Discussion

This study looked into the effects of evaluation systems on teacher performance and morale at a public institution in Afghanistan. The findings provide insight into the complex relationship among instructional performance, faculty morale, and teacher ratings. They also provide insight into the challenges faced by faculty members and the effectiveness of the current evaluation system. The study's findings are analyzed in the context of other studies, and the ramifications for practice and policy in Afghan higher education institutions are examined. One of the study's main conclusions is that faculty members' morale is greatly impacted by their opinions of the teacher evaluation system's fairness and transparency. Higher levels of job satisfaction and motivation were reported by faculty members who thought the evaluation process was impartial, fair, and helpful for their professional growth. These findings are in line with earlier research, like those conducted by Danielson (2007) and Wiliam (2016), which indicates that effective evaluation systems can boost teacher morale by offering opportunities for improvement and constructive criticism.

Some faculty members, however, voiced concerns about the evaluation process's lack of transparency, pointing to a perceived discrepancy between the opportunities for professional growth that were offered and the feedback that was given. In keeping with the findings of Berk (2013) and Mertler (2017), who contend that evaluation systems that only concentrate on assessment without sufficient support for improvement can have detrimental effects like lower morale and job satisfaction, teachers' frustration and disengagement were exacerbated by their perception of an unfair or insufficient evaluation system. Maintaining teacher morale in the Afghan setting requires clear communication and a more supportive evaluation framework, as conventional attitudes of authority and hierarchical structures may influence faculty members' experiences with evaluations. The study discovered that the effects of teacher ratings on instructional effectiveness were not entirely consistent. Teaching techniques were found to have significantly improved by faculty members who had opportunities for professional development and frequent, constructive criticism. These educators were inspired to try out novel teaching strategies, interact with students more, and

pursue further education. This research backs up the findings of Kane, Rockoff, and Stosich (2011), who contend that by encouraging introspection and lifelong learning, good teacher evaluations can lead to enhancements in the caliber of instruction. However, several faculty members believed that the current evaluation system did not offer useful feedback and was not closely enough connected to the effectiveness of instruction. Teachers who were evaluated only on the basis of standardized metrics or student satisfaction complained that these assessments did not adequately account for the complexity of teaching in higher education. This is in line with research by Gul (2013), who highlights how assessment systems that mostly rely on student assessments or oversimplified performance indicators may not accurately capture the essence of instruction and learning. In Afghanistan, where higher education faces many obstacles, such as huge class sizes and insufficient resources, a more sophisticated approach to teacher assessment is needed to ensure that teaching performance is appropriately recognized and supported. Furthermore, faculty resistance to evaluation systems that are seen as external or unrelated to their requirements for professional development may be exacerbated by Afghan universities' weak formative assessment cultures. This study recommends that faculty members be included in the development and execution of assessment systems at Afghan universities in order to overcome these obstacles and make sure that the procedure is seen as constructive rather than punitive. Fostering a sense of ownership and boosting system acceptance can be achieved by involving teachers in conversations regarding the objectives and purpose of teacher evaluations.

Finally, when creating teacher evaluation methods, the Afghan cultural background needs to be carefully taken into account. Because Afghan institutions are hierarchical, faculty members must be involved in developing evaluation systems that reflect local norms and values. Concerns regarding fairness and openness can also be allayed by giving faculty members comprehensive information about the evaluation procedure and making sure that their opinion is connected to worthwhile professional development opportunities.

Conclusion

The intricate connection between teaching performance, teacher morale, and evaluation processes at an Afghan public institution has been clarified by this study. The results show that while teacher evaluation systems might boost faculty motivation and teaching quality, they need to be well planned and executed to be seen as impartial, transparent, and encouraging. Afghan institutions can create more effective assessment systems that promote a pleasant academic atmosphere and help to

strengthen higher education in the nation by taking into account the contextual and cultural aspects that affect faculty experiences with evaluations.

References

1. **Arum, R., & Shavit, Y.** (1995). *Education and inequality: The structure of educational systems and social stratification. International Journal of Comparative Sociology, 36*(1-2), 13-41.

2. **Berk, R. A.** (2013). *Thirteen strategies to measure college teaching. International Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education, 25*(2), 132-146.

3. **Danielson, C.** (2007). *Enhancing professional practice: A framework for teaching* (2nd ed.). ASCD.

4. **Goe, L., Bell, C., & Little, O.** (2008). *Approaches to evaluating teacher effectiveness. National Comprehensive Center for Teacher Quality.*

5. **Gul, S.** (2013). *Teacher evaluation: A case study of teachers' perceptions in higher education institutions in Pakistan. International Journal of Educational Management, 27*(1), 66-78. https://doi.org/10.1108/09513541311293619

6. **Kane, T. J., Rockoff, J. E., & Stosich, E. L.** (2011). *What does teacher evaluation tell us about teacher effectiveness? The Annual Review of Economics, 3*(1), 179-206.

7. **Mertler, C. A.** (2017). *Measuring teaching effectiveness. SAGE Publications.*

8. **Quraishi, U., & Sadiq, A.** (2017). *Educational reforms and teacher evaluation systems in Pakistan: A policy analysis. Asian Education and Development Studies, 6*(2), 143-160. https://doi.org/10.1108/AEDS-08-2016-0069

9. **Wiliam, D.** (2016). *The role of assessment in a learning culture. Educational Assessment, Evaluation and Accountability, 28*(2), 67-85. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11092-015-9221-x